UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,842	11/15/2005	Atsushi Yamagishi	279167US2PCT	5824
22850 7590 10/30/2008 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER	
			EIDE, HEIDI MARIE	
ALEAANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3732	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/30/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Internations Community	10/551,842	YAMAGISHI, ATSUSHI			
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Heidi M. Eide	3732			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):				
(1) <u>Heidi M. Eide</u> .	(3) <u>Colin Harris</u> .				
(2) <u>John Wilson</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>22 October 2008</u> .					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,2 and 22</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Prior art of record</u> .					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)⊡ N	I/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The amended language of claim 22 was discussed and it was suggested to add "tangible" to the amended claim to overcome the 101 rejection. The claim amendments to each of the independent claims 1 and 2 were discussed. It was stated the that amendments to that apparatus claims may not be enough to over come the prior art, since the apparatus is able to function as claimed. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.					
/Heidi M Eide/ Examiner, Art Unit 3732	/John J Wilson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3732				

Application No.

Applicant(s)